IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

| Ergun M. Caner, | | : No.: 6:14-cv-4 |
|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| | Plaintiff | : |
| V. | | : Civil Action - Law |
| | | : Jury Trial Demanded |
| Jonathan Autry, | | : (Electronically Filed) |
| | Defendant | : |

Brief in Support of <u>Second Motion to Supplement Record</u>

Joshua M. Autry, Esquire Pa. Supreme Ct. I.D. 208459 Clymer Musser & Conrad, P.C. 408 W. Chestnut St. Lancaster, PA 17603 Phone: (717) 299-7101 Fax: (717) 299-5115 josh.autry@clymerlaw.com Counsel for Jonathan Autry

Dated: May 1, 2014

I. Argument:

Plaintiff Ergun Caner originally filed this action in the Northern District of Texas against Defendant Jonathan Autry as well as against Defendant Jason Smathers. The Northern District of Texas severed the case and transferred the claims against Jonathan Autry to this Court. There are still two pending dispositive motions (Docket #28 and Docket #29), which Jonathan Autry and Jason Smathers jointly filed. Dr. Caner filed briefs in response to both motions, which conceded nearly every argument by being non-responsible including fair use.¹ Jonathan Autry filed a reply brief. Briefing and the record have closed. Due to newly discovered evidence (Dr. Caner's copyright application), Jonathan Autry sought and received limited permission to supplement the record.

During oral argument on April 30th, for the first time, Dr. Caner's counsel stated that Jonathan Autry worked for Dr. Caner and that Dr. Caner fired Jonathan Autry, arguing that Jonathan Autry is a disgruntled former employee set on ruining Dr. Caner financially. Because Dr. Caner made these false representations for the first time at oral argument, Jonathan Autry has no opportunity to address these false representations. In the declaration attached to the Second Motion to Supplement, Jonathan Autry explains that Dr. Caner has never fired Jonathan Autry from any position; in fact, Jonathan Autry has never been an employee of Dr. Caner's. It appears that Dr. Caner continues to make any false misstatement he can in order to benefit his interests, including this attempt to falsely portray Jonathan Autry in a negative light.²

¹ The Northern District of Texas found both that Dr. Caner brief conceded fair use, and additionally, that the videos were entitled to fair use protection in any event.

² Notably, Dr. Caner's counsel called Jonathan Autry a "cyber-terrorist," simply for posting videos over which Dr. Caner claims copyright. One wonders whether Dr. Caner considers the U.S. Marines a group of

[&]quot;compact-disc-burning-terrorists" for their production of the very same videos to Jason Smathers.

Case 6:14-cv-00004-NKM-RSB Document 63-1 Filed 05/01/14 Page 3 of 4 Pageid#: 354

II. Conclusion

Therefore, Jonathan Autry requests this Honorable Court supplement the record to include his declaration.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Joshua M. Autry</u> Joshua M. Autry, Esquire PA Attorney I.D. #208459 408 West Chestnut Street Lancaster, PA 17603 Telephone: 717.299.7101 Facsimile: 717.299.5511 Counsel for Jonathan Autry

Date: May 1, 2014

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the date listed below I electronically filed the foregoing with the Court using the CM/ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to the following person(s) at the following email address(es):

David C. Gibbs dgibbs@gibbsfirm.com

> /s/ Joshua M. Autry Joshua M. Autry, Esquire

Dated: May 1, 2014